

Final Audit Report of the Commission on the Minnesota Democratic-Farmer-Labor Party

(January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2008)

Why the Audit Was Done

Federal law permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file reports under the Federal Election Campaign Act (the Act). The Commission generally conducts such audits when a committee appears not to have met the threshold requirements for substantial compliance with the Act. The audit determines whether the committee complied with the limitations, prohibitions and disclosure requirements of the Act.

Future Action

The Commission may initiate an enforcement action, at a later time, with respect to the matter discussed in this report.

About the Committee (p. 2)

The Minnesota Democratic-Farmer-Labor Party is a state party committee with headquarters in St. Paul, Minnesota. For more information, see the chart on the Committee Organization, p. 2.

Financial Activity (p. 3)

•	Re	ceipts	
---	----	--------	--

0	Individual Contributions	\$	1,839,117
0	Political Committee Contributions		2,233,845
0	Transfers from Affiliates		5,898,356
0	Transfers from Non-federal		2,394,428
	Accounts		
0	Recount Fund Contributions		694,850
0	Offsets and Other Receipts		1,042,345
To	otal Receipts	\$:	14,102,941

Disbursements

To	otal Disbursements	\$ 14,091,082
0	Recount Expenditures	660,719
0	Coordinated Expenditures	266,844
0	Contributions to Candidates	20,000
0	Transfers to Non-federal Accounts	287,061
0	Federal Election Activity	6,398,033
0	Operating Expenditures	\$ 6,458,425

Commission Finding (p. 4)

Over-funding of Federal Accounts by Non-federal Accounts

Additional Issue (p. 4)

• Misstatement of Financial Activity

¹ 2 U.S.C. §438(b).

Final Audit Report of the Commission on the Minnesota Democratic-Farmer-Labor Party

(January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2008)



Table of Contents

	Page
Part I. Background	
Authority for Audit	1
Scope of Audit	1
Audit Hearing	1
Part II. Overview of Committee	
Committee Organization	2
Overview of Financial Activity	3
Part III. Summaries	
Commission Finding	4
Additional Issue	4
Part IV. Commission Finding	
Over-funding of Federal Accounts by Non-federal Accounts	5
Part V. Additional Issue	
Misstatement of Financial Activity	9
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Part I Background

Authority for Audit

This report is based on an audit of the Minnesota Democratic-Farmer-Labor Party (MNDFL), undertaken by the Audit Division of the Federal Election Commission (the Commission) in accordance with the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the Act). The Audit Division conducted the audit pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §438(b), which permits the Commission to conduct audits and field investigations of any political committee that is required to file a report under 2 U.S.C. §434. Prior to conducting any audit under this subsection, the Commission must perform an internal review of reports filed by selected committees to determine whether the reports filed by a particular committee meet the threshold requirements for substantial cumpliance with the Act. 2 U.S.C. §438(b).

Scope of Audit

Following Commission-approved procedures, the Audit staff evaluated various risk factors and as a result, this audit examined:

- 1. the receipt of excessive contributions and loans;
- 2. the receipt of contributions from prohibited sources;
- 3. the disclosure of contributions received;
- 4. the disclosure of disbursements, debts and obligations;
- 5. the disclosure of expenses allocated between federal and non-federal accounts;
- 6. the consistency between reported figures and bank records;
- 7. the completeness of records; and
- 8. other committee operations necessary to the review.

Audit Hearing

The MNDFL declined the opportunity for an audit hearing.

Part II Overview of Committee

Committee Organization

Important Dates	
Date of Registration	July 15, 1975 ²
Audit Coverage	January 1, 2007 – December 31, 2008
Headquarters	St. Paul, Minnesota
Bank Information	
Bank Depositories	Three
Bank Accounts	13 Federal Accounts
	Five Non-federal Accounts
Treasurer	
Treasurer When Audit Was Conducted	Lori Sellner [through February 8, 2011] Thomas Hamilton [as of February 9, 2011]
Treasurer During Period Covered by Audit	William J. Davis [through February 18, 2009]
Management Information	
 Attended FEC Campaign Finance Seminar 	Yes
Who Handled Accounting and Recordkeeping Tasks	Paid Staff

² The committee registered with the Secretary of the Senate as the Minnesota Dollars for Democrats (a federal committee of the Minnesota Democratic-Farmer-Labor State Party). In 1980, the committee filed an Amended Statement of Organization, changing the name of the committee to the Minnesota Democratic-Farmer-Labor Party.

Overview of Financial Activity (Audited Amounts)

Cash-on-hand @ January 1, 2007	\$ 225,904	
Receipts		
o Individual Contributions	\$ 1,839,117	
o Political Committee Contributions	2,233,845	
o Transfers from Affiliates	5,898,356	
o Transfers from Non-federal Accounts	2,394,428	
o Recount Fund Contributions	694,850	
o Offsets and Other Receipts	1,042,345	
Total Receipts	\$ 14,102,941	
Disbursements		
o Operating Expenditures	\$ 6,458,425	
o Federal Election Activity	6,398,033	
o Transfers to Non-federal Accounts	287,061	
o Contributions to Candidates	20,000	
o Coordinated Expenditures	266,844	
o Recount Expenditures	660,719	
Total Disbursements	\$ 14,091,082	
Cash-on-hand @ December 31, 2008	\$ 237,763	

Part III Summaries

Commission Finding

Over-funding of Federal Accounts by Non-federal Accounts

During audit fieldwork, an analysis of the MNDFL's transfers from its non-federal accounts indicated that the MNDFL may have overfunded its federal accounts by as much as \$277,103. The possible overfunding resulted from unsupported transfers from the non-federal accounts to the federal accounts, an overfunding of the payroll account for the non-federal portion of payroll, and reported federal activity paid from the non-federal accounts.

In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, the MNDFL submitted additional documentation, in conjunction with its amended reports, which demonstrated that the MNDFL did not overfund its federal accounts.

The Commission approved a finding that the MNDFL demonstrated that it did not overfund its federal accounts. (For more detail, see p. 5.)

Additional Issue

Misstatement of Financial Activity

During audit fieldwork, a comparison of the MNDFL's reported financial activity with its bank records revealed a misstatement of receipts and disbursements in calendar years 2007 and 2008. The misstatements were due mainly to unreported transfers from the non-federal accounts to the payroll account and unreported receipts and operating expenditures. For 2007, the MNDFL understated receipts by \$441,228 and disbursements by \$469,230. For 2008, the MNDFL understated receipts by \$1,303,611 and disbursements by \$1,205,799. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, the MNDFL amended its reports to materially correct the misstatements noted above except for the reporting of the payroll account transactions related to the 100 percent non-federal employees.

Also, the MNDFL submitted documentation to show that an unreported, apparent prohibited contribution it received may, in fact, have been permissible. However, the MNDFL did not include this contribution in its amended reports.

The Commission did not approve the Audit staff's recommended finding by the required four votes.

Pursuant to Commission Directive 70,³ this matter is discussed in the "Additional Issue" section. (For more detail, see p. 9.)

³ Available at http://www.fec.gov/directives/directive_70.pdf.

Part IV Commission Finding

Over-funding of Federal Accounts by Non-federal Accounts

Summary

During audit fieldwork, an analysis of the MNDFL's transfers from its non-federal accounts indicated that the MNDFL may have overfunded its federal accounts by as much as \$277,103. The possible overfunding resulted from unsupported transfers from the non-federal accounts to the federal accounts, an overfunding of the payroll account for the non-federal portion of payroll, and reported federal activity paid from the non-federal accounts.

In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, the MNDFL submitted additional documentation, in conjunction with its amended reports, which demonstrated that the MNDFL did not overfund its federal accounts.

The Commission approved a finding that the MNDFL demonstrated that its non-federal accounts did not overfund its federal accounts.

Legal Standard

- A. Accounts for Federal and Non-federal Activity. A party committee that finances political activity in connection with both federal and non-federal elections may establish two accounts (federal and non-federal) and allocate shared expenses expenses that simultaneously support federal and non-federal election activity between the two accounts. Alternatively, the committee may conduct both federal and non-federal activity from one bank account, which is considered a federal account. 11 CFR §102.5(a)(1)(i).
- B. Federal v. Non-federal Account. The federal account may contain only those funds that are permissible under the federal election law. The non-federal account may contain funds that are not permitted under the federal law (but are legal under state law), such as contributions that exceed the limits of the federal law and contributions from otherwise prohibited sources, such as corporations and labor organizations. 11 CFR §102.5(a)(1)(i) and (a)(3).
- C. Transfers. Generally, a political committee may not transfer funds from its non-federal account to its federal account, except when the committee follows specific rules for paying for shared federal/non-federal election activity. 11 CFR §§102.5(a)(1)(i) and 106.5(g).
- **D. Paying for Allocable Expenses.** The Commission regulations offer party committees two ways to pay for allocable, shared federal/non-federal expenses:
 - They may pay the entire amount of the shared expense from the federal account and transfer funds from the non-federal account to the federal account to cover the non-federal share of that expense; or
 - they may establish a separate allocation account into which the committee deposits funds from both its federal and non-federal accounts solely for the purpose of paying the

allocable expenses of shared federal/non-federal activities. 11 CFR §106.5(g)(1)(i) and (ii)(A).

6

- E. Reporting Allocable Expenses. A political committee that allocates federal/non-federal expenses must report oath disbursement it makes from its federal account (or separate allocation account) to pay for a shared federal/non-federal expense. Committees report these kinds of disbursements on Schedule H-4. 11 CFR §104.17(b).
- F. Salaries and Wages. Committees must keep a monthly log of the percentage of time each employee spends in connection with a federal election. Employees who spend 25 percent or less of their compensated time in a given month on federal election activity or on activities in connection with a federal election must be paid only from the federal account, or their wages must be allocated as an administrative cost. 11 CFR §106.7(d)(1).

Facts and Analysis

A. Facts

During audit fieldwork, the Audit staff identified a possible overfunding of MNDFL's federal activity with funds from its non-federal accounts. The overfunding was calculated to be \$277,103 and was based on the following.⁴

- 1. Underfunding of the non-federal portion of shared activity.

 The MNDFL reported a total of \$1,055,437 as the non-federal share of allocated activity and reported \$1,041,688 in transfers from the non-federal accounts to the federal accounts, resulting in an underfunding of \$13,748.
- 2. Overfunding of non-federal payroll.
 - The MNDFL used a single payroll account to pay employee salaries (both 100 percent federal and 100 percent non-federal; no employee salaries were allocated) and related taxes. The MNDFL paid employee benefits out of a federal administrative account and transferred funds from the federal and non-federal accounts to the payroll account to pay salaries and taxes. The MNDFL did not report any of the non-federal payroll activity. The MNDFL's total non-federal payroll expenditures of \$1,129,157 included \$756,744 for salaries, \$226,155 for taxes and \$146,257 for benefits. The MNDFL transferred a total of \$1,215,520 from the non-federal accounts to the payroll account for the mn-federal share of payroll, resulting in an everfunding of \$86,363 (\$1,215,520 \$1,129,157) for the non-federal portion.
- 3. Reported federal activity paid from non-federal accounts.
 The MNDFL reported \$51,105 as federal activity paid from the non-federal accounts.
 Due to the lack of supporting documentation available during audit fieldwork, the Audit staff was initially unable to determine whether the MNDFL reimbursed the non-federal accounts for any of this reported federal activity.

⁴ The Audit staff's review of the transfer activity between the committee's federal and non-federal accounts was initially limited because the MNDFL did not maintain adequate records to support the transfers.

4. Unsupported transfers from non-federal accounts.

The MNDFL made unsupported transfers from the non-federal accounts to the federal accounts totaling \$38,263 for 2007 and \$115,120 for 2008. Due to the lack of supporting doesnountation available during audit fieldwork, the Audit staff was unable to determine whether the transfers from the non-federal account were for the non-federal portion of shared activity.

The Audit staff identified a total of \$103,450 in transfers from the federal accounts to non-federal accounts that lacked adequate supporting documentation detailing the purpose of the transfer. If the MNDFL supplies documentation that shows the transfers were related to any of the activity noted above, the amount of the overfunding by the non-federal accounts may be reduced.

In summary, the apparent overfunding by the non-federal accounts identified in fieldwork was calculated as follows.

Underfunding of non-federal portion of shared activity	(\$	13,748)
Overfunding of non-federal payroll		86,363
Reported federal activity paid from non-federal accounts		51,105
Unsupported transfers from non-federal accounts		153,383
Total over-funding by the non-federal account	<u>\$</u>	277,103

B. Interim Audit Report & Audit Division Recommendation

At the exit conference, the Audit staff provided the MNDFL representatives with workpapers detailing the transfer activity noted above. The MNDFL representatives provided no additional comments.

The Audit staff recommended that the MNDFL provide documentation that showed:

- the MNDFL did not make disbursements from the non-federal accounts for the purpose of financing federal activity;
- reported federal activity paid from the non-federal accounts was reimbursed by the federal accounts; and
- the MNDFL made unsupported transfers from the non-federal accounts for purposes other than federal activity.

In addition, the Audit staff recommended that the MNDFL provide any additional comments and/or documentation that detailed the purpose of the transfers of \$103,450 made from the federal accounts to the non-federal accounts.

If the MNDFL was unable to provide any documentation to reduce the amount of overfunding noted above, the Audit staff recommended that the MNDFL reimburse \$277,103 to the non-federal account.

C. Committee Response to Interim Audit Report

In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, the MNDFL filed amended reports that included the transfers from the non-federal account to the federal account for the non-federal

share of employee benefits payments. The MNDFL submitted additional documentation, which addressed the overfunding of the payroll account for the non-federal portion of the payroll, the unsupported transfers from the non-federal accounts and the apparent payment of federal activity by the non-federal account. The foilowing details the changes resulting from the MNDFL's response.

- 1. The underfunding of the non-federal share of allocable activity increased from \$13,748 to \$120,960 because of the disclosure of previously unreported payments for allocable activity, including the non-federal share of employee benefits, and transfers from the non-federal account to the federal account for the non-federal share of employee benefits payments. The non-federal share of allocable activity increased from \$1,055,437 to \$1,252,561 and the transfers from the non-federal account for this activity increased from \$1,041,688 to \$1,131,601.
- 2. The overfunding of the payroll account for the non-federal portion of the payroll increased from \$86,363 to \$102,663. The increase was due to the removal of a transfer from the non-federal account of \$20,000, which was listed twiee, and the addition of \$36,300 for a transfer that was deposited into a federal account in error, and then subsequently transferred from the federal account to the payroll account.
- 3. The MNDFL's submission of additional documentation, its filing of amended disclosure reports and the increase in the overfunding of the non-federal share of allocable activity discussed above cleared the unsupported transfers of \$153,383 from the non-federal account.
- 4. The apparent paymont of foderal activity by the non-federal account was decreased from \$51,105 to \$8,833. The MNDFL demonstrated that it had properly paid \$31,529 from the non-federal account, \$16,785 was allocable activity (\$6,042, or 36 percent, is the federal share) and \$2,791 was 100 percent federal activity. The amended reports filed by the MNDFL corrected the misstatement of this activity.

In summary, the response to the Interim Audit Report resulted in the following.

Underfunding of non-federal portion of shared activity	(\$ 120,960)
Overfunding of non-federal payroll	102,663
Unsupported transfers from non-federal accounts	-0-
Reported federal activity paid from non-federal accounts	<u>8,833</u>
Total under-funding by the non-federal account	<u>(\$ 9,464)</u>

As a result of its response to the Interim Audit Report Recommendation, the MNDFL sufficiently demonstrated that it did not overfund its federal accounts with funds from its non-federal accounts.

D. Draft Final Audit Report

In the Draft Final Audit Report, the Audit staff acknowledged that the MNDFL amonded its reports to demonstrate that it did not overfund its federal accounts with funds from its non-federal accounts.

E. Committee Response to the Draft Final Audit Report

In response to the Draft Final Audit Report, the MNDFL supported the Audit staff's conclusion that the non-federal accounts did not overfund the federal accounts.

Commission Conclusion

On October 18, 2012, the Commission considered the Audit Division Recommendation Memorandum in which the Audit staff recommended that the Commission adopt a finding that the MNDFL has demonstrated that its non-federal accounts did not overfund its federal accounts.

The Commission approved the Audit staff's recommendation.

Part V Additional Issue

Misatatement of Financial Activity

Summary

During audit fieldwork, a comparison of the MNDFL's reported financial activity with its bank records revealed a misstatement of receipts and disbursements in calendar years 2007 and 2008. The misstatements were due mainly to unreported transfers from the non-federal accounts to the Payrull account and unreported receipts and operating expenditures. For 2007, the MNDFL understated receipts by \$441,228 and disbursements by \$469,230. For 2008, the MNDFL understated receipts by \$1,303,611 and disbursements by \$1,205,799. In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation, the MNDFL amended its reports to materially correct the misstatements noted above except for the reporting of the Payroll account transactions related to the 100% non-federal employees.

Also, the MNDFL submitted documentation to show that an unreported apparent prohibited contribution it received may, in fact, have been permissible. However, the MNDFL did not include this contribution in its amended reports.

The Commission did not approve the Audit staff's recommended finding by the required four votes. Pursuant to Commission Directive 70, this matter is discussed in the "Additional Issue" section.

Legal Standard

- A. Contents of Reports. Each report must disclose:
 - the amount of cash-on-hand at the beginning and end of the reporting period;
 - the total amount of receipts for the reporting period and for the calendar year;
 - the total amount of disbursements for the reporting period and for the calendar year; and
 - certain transactions that require itemization on Schedule A (Itemized Receipts) or Schedule B (Itemized Disbursements). 2 U.S.C. §434(b)(1), (2), (3), (4) and (5).

- B. Receipt of Prohibited Contributions General Prohibition. Candidates and committees may not accept contributions (in the form of money, in-kind contributions or loans) from the treasury funds of the following prohibited sources:
 - corporations (this means any incorporated organization, including a non-stock corporation, an incorporated membership organization, and an incorporated econerative);
 - labor organizations; or
 - national banks. 2 U.S.C. §441b.
- C. Contributions by Limited Liability Companies (LLC). A limited liability company is a business entity that is recognized as such under the laws of the state in which it is established. An LLC that elects to be treated as a corporation by the Internal Revenue Service under 26 CFR 301.7701-3 shall be considered a corporation pursuant to 11 CFR Part 114. An LLC that makes a contribution to a candidate or committee shall provide information as to how the contribution is to be attributed and affirm that it is eligible to make the contribution. 11 CFR §110.1(g).

Facts and Analysis

A. Facts

During audit fieldwork, the Audit staff reconciled the MNDFL's reported activity with its bank records and identified a misstatement of receipts and disbursements for calendar years 2007 and 2008. The following charts detail the discrepancies between the totals on the MNDFL's disclosure reports and bank records.

2007Activity			
	Reported	Bank Records	Discrepancy
Beginning Cash Balance	\$212,947	\$225,904	\$12,957
@ January 1, 2007			Understated
Receipts	\$1,381,869	\$1,823,097	\$441,228
-			Understated
Disbutsements	\$1,409,884	\$1,879,114	\$469,230
			Understated
Ending Cash Balance @	\$184,932	\$169,887	\$15,045
December 31, 2007			Overstated

	Reported	Bank Records	Discrepancy
Beginning Cash Balance	\$184,932	\$169,887	\$15,045
@ January 1, 2008	L		Overstated
Receipts	\$10,976,233	\$12,279,844	\$1,303,611
-		ļ	Understated
Disbursements	\$11,006,169	\$12,211,968	\$1,205,799
			Understated
Ending Cash Balance @	\$154,996	\$237,763	\$82,767
December 31, 2008		·	Understated

The discrepancies in financial activity noted above occurred primarily because the MNDFL did not report certain payroll transactions involving the payroll account and the federal administrative accounts. The MNDFL separated its employees into two classes: 100 percent federal and 100 percent non-federal. A MNDFL representative staton that the MNDFL estellished the system to ease the administrative burden of payroll processing. If an employee spant any time working on foderal activity during the pay pariod, lie or she was paid 100 percent from federal funds transferred to the payroll account and the MNDFL dieclosed the salary payments on Schedule B. If an employee spent the pay period working solely on non-federal activity, the MNDFL paid him or her 100 percent from non-federal funds transferred to the payroll account and did not report the salary and related costs.

The MNDFL paid all salaries and related employee taxes from one payroll account administered by MNDFL staff and paid employee health insurance and retirement benefits from the federal administrative accounts. Transfers from the federal and non-federal accounts funded the payroll account. The Andit stuff included the peyroll account as a federal account. The MNDFL disclosed only the federal activity paid out of the payroll account and the portion of benefits relating to federal employees paid from the federal administrative accounts. An analysis of the salaries paid to all employees during the audit period showed that the MNDFL paid \$3,176,793 (81 percent) of the salaries as 100 percent federal and \$756,744 (19 percent) as 100 percent non-federal. Subsequent to the period under audit, the MNDFL established a separate non-federal payroll account.

Included among the 2008 unreported in-kind contributions was a \$10,000 contribution from South Clinton Street Investments, LLC (SCSI). During the 2008 Democratic National Convention held in Denver, Colorado, the MNDFL contracted with the Four Points by Sheraton Denver Southeast to provide catering services for the Minnesota State delegation. On August 24, 2008, the committee paid the hotel a deposit of \$17,634. The hotel provided catering services on August 25 – 29, 2008, totaling \$36,943, leaving a balance due of \$19,309. On September 22, 2008, the hotel applied a \$10,000 check drawn on the operating account of SCSI to the MNDFL's account. The MNDFL did not report this in-kind contribution and during fieldwork the Audit staff did not find any documentation in the MNDFL's files to show that SCSI was permitted to make the contribution.

B. Interim Audit Report & Audit Division Recommendation

At the extra conference, the Audit staff provided the MNDFL representatives with workpepers detailing the misstatements of financial activity, including the apparent prohibited contribution. Counsel for the MNDFL stated that the payroll account was neither a federal nor a non-federal account, but merely a pass-through account established to reduce the administrative workload of the MNDFL, and only the expenditures related to federal activity needed to be reported.⁷

⁵ There was no allocated payroll.

⁶ A federal account means an account at a campaign depository that contains funds to be used in connection with a Federal election. 11 CFR §300.2(f).

⁷ In the Final Audit Report of the Commission on the Georgia Federal Elections Committee (2006), where funds were transferred from federal and non-federal accounts to a payroll escrow account at the request of a third party payroll vendor that could not draw funds from two different accounts, the Commission concluded that the committee did not have to further amend its reports in relation to transactions involving the payroll escrow account.

The Audit staff and the MNDFL representatives discussed the contribution from SCSI before and at the exit conference. The Audit staff requested that MNDFL provide information and/or documentation to show that the payment was either not a contribution or that SCSI was permitted to make a contribution. The MNDFL had not submitted any additional documentation concerning this matter, as of the transmittal of the Interim Audit Report to the MNDFL.

The Interim Audit Report recommended that the MNDFL:

- amend its reports to correct the misstatements for 2007 and 2008 as noted above;
- amend its most recent report to correct the cash-on-hand balance with an explanation that the change resulted from a prior-period audit adjustment;
- reconcile the cash balance of its most recent report to identify any subsequent discrepancies that may affect the adjustment recommended by the Audit staff; and
- provide evidence to show that the unreported in-kind payment made by SCSI was either not a contribution or that SCSI was permitted to make a contribution. Absent such evidence, the Audit staff recommended that the MNDFL report the in-kimil contribution and refund \$10,000 to SCSI.

C. Committee Response to Interim Audit Report

In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation relating to the misstatement of financial activity, the MNDFL amended its reports to materially correct the misstatements noted above except for the reporting of the payroll account transactions related to the 100 percent non-federal employees. Based on the amended reports filed in response to Interim Audit Report, receipts remained understated by \$395,072 for 2097 and \$857,747 for 2008. Disbursements remained understated by \$433,311 for 2007 and \$701,688 for 2008.

The MNDFL attited that it would not are end its reports to include the non-federal payroll account activity at this time because it believed the account is not a federal account but rather a "pass-through account" not intended to pay any expenses other than the payroll expenses that are handled in-house by the committee. The MNDFL believed that to require disclosure of these amounts would result in an artificial increase of its federal activity and be confusing to the readers of its disclosure reports. The MNDFL referenced the Commission's action previously taken in regard to the Georgia Federal Elections Committee (GFEC), in which the Commission did not require GFEC to report the non-federal payroll activity.

In the case of the GFEC, a separate payroll account was created in order to facilitate a single payment to a payroll-processing vender. The Commissioners advanced different rationales for approving the motion that the GFEC did not have to report the non-federal activity. Some Commissioners indicated agreement with the GFEC's argument that a payroll escrow account is neither a federal nor non-federal account, nor the "functional equivalent" of an allocation account. Another Commissioner did not agree with GFEC's argument, but determined that non-federal payroll activity did not need to be disclosed in this instance based on specific equitable considerations, including the fact that GFEC did not overfund the payroll account from its non-federal accounts. Conversely, the audit of the MNDFL identified an overfunding of the payroll account by the non-federal accounts totaling \$102,663. (See Commission Finding above.)

The Audit staff maintained that the MNDFL's payroll account was a federal eccount because the MNDFL used the account in a manner similar to an "allocation account." Unlike the payroll

escrow account established by the GFEC, the MNDFL account was not created at the request of a third-party vendor. Although the MNDFL did not allocate any payroll disbursements between federal and non-federal funds (employees were identified as either 100 percent federal or 190 percent non-federal), funds were transferred from its federal and non-federal accounts to the payroll account to pay salaries and taxes.

In addition, the MNDFL transferred funds from its non-federal accounts to its federal administrative account to pay for the non-federal share of employee benefits. The MNDFL initially did not report these transfers and the Audit staff included them in the misstatement of financial activity presented in the Interim Audit Report. However, in response to the Interim Audit Report, the MNDFL amended its reports to include these transfers and to report the non-federal share of the benefits paid from its federal administrative account. The Audit staff believed there was no distinction between the MNDFL's federal administrative account and its payroli account in the requirement to report the non-federal activity. Therefore, non-federal salaries and taxes from the MNDFL's payroll account also required reporting.

In response to the Interim Audit Report recommendation relating to the unreported in-kind contribution, the MNDFL submitted documentation to show that the apparent prohibited contribution it received may, in fact, have been permissible. The documentation appeared to indicate that the MNDFL received a permissible \$10,000 in-kind contribution from the Denver 2008 Convention Host Committee (DCHC). However, the MNDFL's amended reports filed in response to the Interim Audit Report did not include this in-kind contribution.

D. Draft Final Audit Report

In the Drnft Final Audit Report, the Audit staff acknowledged that the MNDFL amended its reports to materially correct the misstatements presented in the Interim Audit Report, with the exception of the transactions relating to the non-federal payroll activity. Also, the MNDFL did not report the in-kind contribution from the DCHC.

E. Committee Response to the Draft Final Audit Report

In response to the Draft Final Audit Report, the MNDFL acknowledged filing amendments to its 2007 – 2008 reports to correct certain items and cash-on-hand amounts. The MNDFL did not, however, agree with the Audit staff's conclusion that the payroll account was a federal account requiring all activity (federal and non-federal) to be disclosed. The MNDFL contended that the payroll account was neither a federal nor a non-federal account but rather an "escrow account" used to transmit payroll from its federal and non-federal accounts, similar to the account used by the GFEC that was an issue in the 2006 election eycle.

The MNDFL stated that the payroll account was established to address the need to pay employees and the Internal Revenue Service from one account. While the MNDFL acknowledged that it "apparently and inadvertently" overfunded the non-federal payroll during the 2008 election cycle, the overfunding was offset by the underfunding of the non-federal share of overall expenses. The MNDFL further stated that it "believe[d] that these were funds intransit, and were ultimately used only for non-federal activity" and none of the funds "were used to subsidize any federal activity."

The Audit staff maintained that the MNDFL used the payroll account in a manner similar to an "allocation account." Although the MNDFL did not report any allocated salary and payroll tax expenditures on Schedule H4, when viewed from a global perspective each pay period involved an allocation of federal and non-federal smaries and taxes paid from one account. The payroil account was funded by transfers from federal and non-federal accounts. As stated in the Commission Finding above, the MNDFL did not overfund the non-federal share of overall expenses, but an analysis of the receipts and disbursements from the payroll account showed that there were insufficient federal funds in the payroll account to pay for the total reported federal expenditures that resulted in an overfunding of the federal share of salaries and taxes by the non-federal accounts.

In response to the issue of the unreported in-kind contribution of \$10,000, the MNDFL acknowledged that while the funds applied to the MNDFL's catering bill "may have derived from funds that were paid by the Denver 2008 Convention Host Committee to the hotel, it [was] not clear, ant in [their] view, undikely, that this payment was, in fact, an in-kind contribution." Based on oral discussions with the representative of the Denver Host Committee (which has terminated) and other information gathered, the MNDFL believed that the funds most likely represented a refund (although the MNDFL provided no details or documentation in its response) for a cancelled event honoring the Minnesota delegation that was to be paid by the Denver Host. The purported refund, through a series of transactions, wound up credited to the MNDFL's catering bill at the Sheraton Four Points Denver Southeast. The MNDFL stated that it could not determine whether the credit to its account was intentional or accidental, and that it could not determine the original source of the funds.

The Audit staff concluded that the MNDFL received an in-kind contribution from an unknown source that was not reported or disgorged to the U.S. Treasury when the permissibility of the funds could not be confirmed.

Commission Conclusion

On October 4 and 18, 2012, the Commission considered the Audit Division Recommendation Memorandum in which the Audit Division recommended that the Commission adopt a finding that the MNDFL misstated 2007 – 2008 activity and failed to report non-federal salaries and taxes paid from its payroll account and misstated activity in 2008 and failed to report an in-kind contribution in the amount of \$10,000. Since the source and purmissibility of the contribution remained in question, the Audit staff further recommended that the Commission request that the MNDFL disgorge \$10,000 to the U.S. Treasury.

The Commission did not approve the Audit staff's recommended finding by the required four votes. The Commission could not reach a consensus on the recommended finding that the MNDFL was required to report the non-federal salaries and taxes paid from the payroll account and was required to report an in-kind contribution in the amount of \$10,000. Some Commissioners voted to approve the recommended finding. Other Commissioners determined

⁸ Federal and non-federal employee benefits were paid from a federal administrative account and reported on Schedule H4, Disbursements for Federal/Nonfederal Activity.

that the situation was analogous to that in the GFEC audit, thus reporting of non-federal expenditures paid from the payroll account was not required.

Pursuant to Commission Directive 70, this matter is presented as an "Additional Issue."